Macrobioerosion in *Porites* corals from the northern South China Sea
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Abstract. The potentially negative effects of bioerosion on tropical coral reefs are well documented, but few studies have quantified the direct impact of macroborers in subtropical coral waters. In this study, internal macrobioerosion of *Porites* corals was examined at Weizhou Island and Daya Bay, subtropical northern South China Sea. Twenty-six massive *Porites* corals were cut, and cross-sections were photographed. Image analysis was used to identify bioeroders and quantify the degree of bioerosion caused by each bioeroder group. The observed bioeroders included sponges, bivalves, barnacles, and sipunculid and polychaete worms. The most important borers were filter-feeding bivalves (*Lithophaga* spp.) and sponges (“porous” and “cavernous”), while worms and barnacles showed relatively low bioerosion activity. *Porites* corals near the coastal urban and aquacultural areas were heavily bioeroded. Total internal bioerosion decreased significantly with distance from coastal urban and aquacultural areas. Bioerosion of bivalves and sponges decreased the most significantly, associated with local patterns of eutrophication and nutrient availability. The bioerosion intensity of macrobioeroders, especially boring bivalves and sponges, can be interpreted as an indicator for chronic exposure to decreased water quality at coastal coral areas. High levels of bioerosion, especially boring bivalve infestation, significantly weaken the corals and increase their susceptibility to dislodgement and fragmentation by typhoons and destructive fishing activities, and are adverse to coral survival and reef development.
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Introduction

In the northern South China Sea (NSCS), coral reefs and non-reef-building coral communities are distributed principally around the offshore islands and along the mainland coast of southern China. These coral areas play an important role in sustaining marine biodiversity and providing fishery and tourism resources for China (Huang 2005; Wilkinson 2008). Since the 1980s, however, significantly declining coral cover and changes in coral community composition were increasingly reported from almost all coral areas in the NSCS (reviewed by Huang 2005 and Wilkinson 2008). Poor water quality due to increased influx of sediments and nutrients associated with sewage, agricultural runoff and aquaculture development is considered as a major factor in coral community deterioration. But this viewpoint is largely unsupported by direct evidence.

One of the principle ways in which eutrophication threatens corals is by enhancing internal bioerosion, especially macrobioerosion (e.g. Sammarco and Risk 1990; Risk et al. 1995), which is recognized not only as a major factor influencing local calcium carbonate budgets and framework accumulation of coral reefs (e.g. Le Campion-Alsumard et al. 1993; Macdonald and Perry 2003), but also as an important contributor to the morphology of modern and ancient reefs (e.g. Peyrot-Clausade et al. 1992; Glynn 1997). Bioerosion is ubiquitous in coral areas of NSCS, but only little research has been undertaken. This paper quantitatively examines macroboring of *Porites* corals from two marginal coral environments, which are subjected to elevated levels of eutrophication, and assesses the intensity of internal bioerosion by macroboring organisms, aiming at improving the understanding of the impacts of nutrient pollution upon the preservation of framework-building corals and reef development.

Material and Methods

This study was carried out at Daya Bay and Weizhou Island (Fig. 1), where are important economic, tourism and aquacultural areas in the NSCS. Daya Bay is a semi-enclosed bay, with an area of 600 km\(^2\) and an average depth of 10 m. Average yearly sea surface temperature (SST) approaches 23 °C, whilst the highest monthly SST (July-August) and lowest monthly SST (January-February) is 29°C and 15°C, respectively. Non-reefal coral communities are patchily distributed along the offshore islands and in some coastal areas (Chen et al. 2009). Based on regional ecological surveys, significant deterioration of coral communities was found in Daya Bay, i.e., coral cover declined dramatically from 76.6% in 1983
to only 15.3% in 2008 (Chen et al. 2009), probably attributed to anthropogenic impacts rather than climatic changes.

Weizhou Island is a volcanic island, with an area of 26 km². The monthly SSTs range from 17 °C to 30°C, with an average of 24.6°C. Coral reefs are mainly found on the north and south west coasts, in a depth of 10m. From the 1980s, human impacts, such as water pollution and destructive fishing, have driven a sharp decline in coral cover, from ~60% to less than 20% (Huang et al. 2009).

Fish, shrimp and shellfish aquaculture are well developed in bays like Aotou and Dapeng at Daya Bay and Weizhou town at Weizhou Island (Fig 1), where waters were heavily polluted. Relatively high concentrations of nutrients (e.g. dissolved nitrogen, phosphorus and silicate) and phytoplankton biomass were reported (Wei et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2008), and this may be a consequence of the oxidation of organic wastes coupled with poor water exchange in those bays.

A total of twenty-six live massive *Porites* corals were collected from Daya Bay and Weizhou Island (from 6 and 3 sampling stations, respectively; Fig.1, Table 1). Sampling stations at both sites differed in water quality, with sites 1, 2 and 3 at Daya Bay and sites 2 and 3 at Weizhou Island being more polluted and eutrophic, and site 4, 5 and 6 at Daya Bay and site 1 at Weizhou Island with relatively cleaner waters. Eutrophication levels were inferred from water turbidity and from distance to likely pollution sources. From each sampled coral head, three parallel, non-adjacent cross-sections were cut with a rock saw (Fig. 2). One face of each section was then digitally photographed for identification of macroboring species and analysis of infestation. Identification of borers (bivalve mollusks, sponges, barnacles, polychaetes and sipunculans) was based on the shape, size, and character of each borehole, as described by previous studies (Sammaroo and Risk 1990; Klein et al. 1991). Surface areas of each section and all boreholes attributed to each taxon within digital images were measured using Scion Image (ver. 4.0.3) image analysis software. Data for polychaetes and sipunculans were analyzed as a single group, simply as “worms”, while sponge bioerosion was distinguished as “porous” (as mainly produced by *Cliona* spp.) and “cavernous” (as mainly produced by *Clithosa* spp.). Bioerosion intensity was reported as the percentage of cross-sectional area removed by each type of boring organism, and total percent bioerosion of corals is the sum of the area removed by all types of boring organism divided the sum of cross-sectional area (Edinger et al. 2000; Holmes et al. 2000; Mallela and Perry 2007).

**Results**

The major taxa of boring organisms were bivalve mollusks (dominated by *Lithophaga* spp.), sponges (probably dominated by *Clithosa* spp. and *Cliona* spp.), sipunculid and polychaete worms, and barnacles (Fig. 2). The percent of eroded coral surfaces (bioerosion intensity) is presented in Table 1, listing the corresponding taxonomic group and the study sites. The total average percent of erosion at Daya Bay and Weizhou Island were 12.71% and 6.66%, and ranged from 2.18% to 27.55% and 3.12% to 8.53%, respectively. Boring bivalves caused most of the destruction at both sites, but this was clearer at Weizhou. Erosion by boring sponges was in the same range as mollusk erosion and was also more prevalent at Daya Bay than at Weizhou. Borings caused by worms and barnacles were considerably less abundant than by the other taxa.

At both Daya Bay and Weizhou Island, bioerosion intensity (bivalves, sponges and total bioerosion) was clearly higher at sewage-polluted sites (site 1, 2 and 3 at Daya Bay; site 2 and 3 at Weizhou Island) than at the relatively unpolluted areas (site 4, 5 and 6 at Daya Bay; site 1 at Weizhou Island), and decreased with distance from coastal urban and aquacultural areas (e.g., Aotou, Dapeng and Weizhou town, Fig. 1). For example, the average bioerosion intensity of bivalves and sponges were 5.56% and 1.34% at Weizhou 3, and declined with distance from Weizhou town, falling to 1.82 % and 0.79% at Weizhou 1 (Table 1). This is consistent with the trend observed at the Great Barrier Reef (Sammaroo and Risk 1990; Risk et al. 1995; Cooper et al. 2008; Le Grand and Fabricius).
namely sponges and bivalves were dominant at inshore sites and decreased outward across the continental shelf.

Discussion
While both sample areas are geographically far apart and geologically different, sample size was comparatively small, and a variety of environmental factors other than eutrophication influence bioerosion, observed patterns were similar at both areas. Higher levels of nutrient availability and plankton productivity appear to have contributed to the higher levels of internal bioerosion in Porites. Several authors found that levels of bioerosion in both live corals and coral rubble were significantly higher on reefs subjected to eutrophication than on unpolluted or offshore reefs (Rose and Risk 1985; Sammarco and Risk 1990; Risk et al. 1995; Holmes et al. 2000; Hutchings et al. 2005; Ward-Paige et al. 2005; Holmes et al. 2009). This probably applies predominantly to filter-feeders like bivalves and sponges, and various authors proposed that bioerosion levels were positively correlated with local patterns of primary productivity (Highsmith et al. 1983; Edinger et al. 2000; Holmes et al. 2000). During the past 30 years, Daya Bay and Weizhou Island have experienced rapid aquacultural and urban expansion which has adversely affected the water quality of nearshore waters. Domestic and restaurant sewage, waste feed and feces from cage culture are discharged into the surrounding waters, deteriorating water quality, increasing nutrient concentrations and turbidity. Nutrient-rich seawater favors bacterial and algal blooms, which can enhance larval survival and increase abundances of bioeroding organisms (e.g. Rose and Risk 1985; Tribollet and Golubic 2005; Cooper et al. 2008; Le Grand and Fabricius 2011). The bioerosion intensity of boring bivalves and sponges and total bioerosion were higher near polluted sites (Aotou and Dapeng at Daya Bay and Weizhou town at Weizhou Island) than at the relatively unpolluted areas (Fig. 1; Table 1), which was consistent with the trend of local nutrient regimes. This study also implied that the bioerosion intensity of macrobioeroders, especially boring bivalves and sponges, can be interpreted as an indicator for chronic exposure to decreased water quality at coastal coral areas.

Porites corals in this work were heavily bioeroded by macroboring organisms. Skeletal excavation in our Porites corals is much greater than the results reported from other seas using similar methods, such as Carrie Bow Cay, Belize (Highsmith et al. 1983), Gulf of Eilat, Red Sea (Klein et al. 1991), Java Sea, Indonesia (Holmes et al. 2000), and the Great Barrier Reef (Sammarco and Risk 1990; Edinger and Risk 1997), probably attributed to the extreme eutrophic environment described in this study. Boring bivalves (Lithophaga spp.) proved to be the most abundant borers in the NSCS, in contrast to other studies which sponges constitute the major group of boring organisms on lots of tropical coral reefs (e.g. Risk and Sammarco 1982; Highsmith et al. 1983; Risk et al. 1995; Perry 1998; Holmes et al. 2000; Macdonald and Perry 2003). Endolithic Lithophaga bivalves seem to be the most effective bioeroders in this study and in other high latitude coral areas, such as the Solitary Islands, Australia (Smith 2011), Isla del Caño, Costa Rica (Scott and Risk 1988) and sites adjacent to Hong Kong (Clark and Morton 1999), and also on some tropical eastern Pacific reefs (Cantera et al. 2003; Londoño-Cruz et al. 2003), in where macrobioeroders, especially boring bivalves, were proved to be the key limiting factor for reef framework development. Boreholes by Lithophaga spp. are commonly larger than those of other bioeroders (Fig. 2). They can secrete acid to dissolve and significantly weaken the limestone substrate (Scott and Risk 1988). High levels of boring bivalve infestation as observed in this study, especially heavily bioerosion that occurs at the base of coral heads (Fig. 2), weakens the corals’ attachments and increases their susceptibility to dislodgement and fragmentation imposed by catastrophic high energy events (e.g. typhoons) as well as destructive fishing practices (e.g. dynamite fishing and bottom trawling). During each underwater survey in the NSCS (Chen et al. 2009, and this work), the author always found some live and dead Porites corals with dense boreholes were dislodged from the substratum to the deeper sand seabed. In the NSCS, bioerosion is a major factor in local coral deterioration and is also a limiting factor for reef accretion and development. The present publication appeals to local governments to develop appropriate strategies to monitor and manage water quality and bioerosion and to maintain a functional environment for Chinese coral communities.
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Table 1: Summary of percent of area removed by various groups of macroborers (mean±SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study sites</th>
<th>Number of samples</th>
<th>% Erosion of coral surface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bivalve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daya 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.34±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daya 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.30±1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daya 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.36±4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daya 4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.45±0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daya 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.95±1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daya 6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.01±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weizhou 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.82±1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weizhou 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.27±2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weizhou 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.56±3.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>